IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

HARLEYSVILLE INSURANCE

GROUP

:

Plaintiff, : C.A. No.:

06-647

JOHN WINIFORD CORPORATION OF DELAWARE d/b/a MARY

ANN INTERIORS

:

:

Defendant.

2006 OCT 19 PH

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Harleysville Insurance Group ("Harleysville"), by way of Complaint against Defendant, John Winiford Corporation of Delaware d/b/a Mary Ann Interiors ("Mary Ann Interiors"), says:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Harleysville Insurance Group, is a Tennessee Corporation with its principal place of business in Nationville, Tennessee and is authorized to conduct business in the state of Delaware.
- 2. Defendant, John Winiford Corporation of Delaware d/b/a Mary Ann Interiors is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 86 Atlantic Avenue, Ocean View, Delaware 19970.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$1332, by reason of diversity of citizenship of the parties and the amount in controversy on the underlying claim exceeding \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

- 4. Furthermore, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to U.S.C. §2201, et seq., the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act.
- 5. Venue properly lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial number of the events given rise to the underlying claims occurred in the state of Delaware.

III. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 6. Harleysville issued a Deluxe Business Owners Policy of Insurance, No. BO 1B4836 for the period of October 31, 2004 to October 31, 2005 to John Winiford Corp. of DE t/a Mary Ann's Interiors ("Mary Ann's Interiors").
- 7. The policy included coverage for property damage to Mary Ann's Interiors' building ("building coverage") and the business personal property within the building ("contents coverage") as well as coverage for the interruption of Mary Ann's Interiors' business in the event of a covered loss ("business interruption" or "business income" coverage).
- 8. On or about August 1, 2005, a fire occurred at the Mary Ann's Interiors location at 86 Atlantic Avenue in Ocean View, Delaware.
- 9. The following day, August 2, 2005, Mary Ann's Interiors placed Harleysville on notice of its claim for benefits under the policy as a consequence of the fire.
- 10. On that same date, August 2, 2005, Harleysville opened a claim and assigned Tower Insurance Services as an independent adjuster to evaluate the nature and size of the loss claimed by Mary Ann's Interiors. Mary Ann's Interiors, by contract dated August 15, 2005, retained Goodman-Gable-Gould Company/Adjusters International (hereinafter "Goodman"), a public adjusting firm, to act on behalf of Mary Ann's Interiors in connection with the claim.
- 11. Mary Ann's Interiors property damage claim was for building coverage, contents coverage and business interruption coverage.
 - 12. The portion of the claim concerning building coverage has been resolved.

- 13. The portion of the claim for contents coverage has been resolved.
- 14. Between August, 2005 and January, 2006, Harleysville, by and through its representatives, requested information from Goodman concerning the business interruption claim. During this time frame, Goodman responded that it was still in the process of gathering the information requested and that when the information was gathered it would be forwarded to Harleysville.
- 15. Mary Ann's Interiors retained Rollins Accounting & Inventory, Inc. ("Rollins") to evaluate and quantify its business interruption claim. By letter dated January 24, 2006, Duncan McCleod of Rollins forwarded his Interim Business Income Extra Expense Loss Evaluation through May, 2006 in the amount of \$187,961 to Christopher Logan of Harleysville.
- 16. By letter dated February 12, 2006 to Mr. Logan, Goodman requested an advance on the Business Income portion of the claim.
- 17. By letter to Goodman dated February 22, 2006, Mr. Logan of Harleysville advised that the information provided under McCleod's January 24, 2006 letter was incomplete in that it did not contain the documents requested to adequately evaluate the Business Income claim. As a consequence, Mr. Logan advised that Harleysville could not agree to the stated amount of \$187,961 and could not justify the advanced payment referenced in Mr. Goodman's February 12, 2006 correspondence. Mr. Logan also advised Mr. Goodman that Harleysville had retained the services of Mr. Les Robson with Robson, P.C. to aid in the evaluation of the Business Income and Extra Expense portion of the claim.
- 18. By letter to Mr. Logan dated February 24, 2006, Mr. Goodman advised, among other things, that "the Business Income portion of this claim is complete and all the items you have requested are not necessary".
- 19. By letter dated March 1, 2006, Mr. Logan of Harleysville advised, among other things, that "in order to properly evaluate the Business Income portion of the claim, the

information we requested is necessary for review. Also, once that information is received for review, it may be necessary to request additional information".

- 20. On that same date, Robson, P.C. sent a letter to Mr. McCleod at Rollins enclosing a Preliminary Document Request, noting that the Request "is for additional information necessary for us to evaluate the claim". Robson further noted that "upon receiving and reviewing the requested documents, we will likely have additional questions and may find it necessary to request additional documents. Therefore, the enclosed Request is not intended to be all-inclusive. If we do have questions or additional document needs, we will let you know promptly, so we can keep the claim moving toward a timely resolution".
- 21. By letter dated March 6, 2006, Mr. Goodman responded to Harleysville's March 1, 2006 letter, advising, among other things, "it makes no sense to give you the other information at this time".
- 22. By letter dated March 20, 2006, Mr. Goodman advised of Mary Ann's Interior's demand for appraisal in the Business Interruption part of the claim and identify Jeff Pence as Mary Ann's Interior's chosen appraiser.
- 23. By letter to Mr. Goodman dated March 28, 2006, Mr. Logan of Harleysville advised, among other things:

We feel that entering the appraisal process at this time may be premature as we have not been provided with the supporting information to adequately evaluate the Business Interruption portion of the claim. Without the supporting information we can not complete an accurate evaluation of the Business Interruption portion of the claim. A document request was forwarded to Mr. Duncan McCleod with Rollins Accounting on March 1, 2006. A copy of the letter is attached for review. As of the date of this letter, we have not received any of the requested information... Please obtain the information in the document request and forward it to Mr. Les Robson with Robson, P.C.

- 24. By letter dated April 5, 2006, Robson, P.C. followed up its March 1, 2006 letter to Rollins, again enclosing the Preliminary Document Request which had been forwarded on March 1, 2006.
- 25. By letter dated April 4, 2006 to Harleysville, Mr. Goodman responded to Harleysville's March 28, 2006 letter and advised, among other things, "you have all of the information necessary to evaluate the claim and if we can not agree to the 'length of time', then we will never agree to the loss".
- 26. By letter to Mr. Goodman dated April 7, 2006, Harleysville responded to Mr. Goodman's April 4, 2006 letter, stating:

In your letter you have refused to submit the requested supporting documentation for the Business Income claim. The information has been requested multiple times for review. As you are aware, supplying us with the requested information is one of the conditions under the Policy.

Mr. Logan then outlined the provisions of the Policy concerning Mary Ann's Interiors "Duties in the Event of Loss or Damage". Mr. Logan then stated:

As you can see, there are many duties under the Policy, including providing the requested information, completing a Proof of Loss and returning it with the supporting documentation, and resuming operations as quickly as possible.

As we stated in our prior letter of March 26, 2006 (sic) we feel entering into the appraisal process without the requested information is premature. The insured needs to abide by the policy provisions and complete the attached Proof of Loss and return it to us with the requested documentation. Once we received the Proof of Loss and information, we will be in a position to review and respond to the insured.

27. By letter to Harleysville dated April 10, 2006, Mr. Goodman stated, among other things, "in your letter dated April 7, 2006, this was the first time you requested a Proof of Loss on the Business Income claim. The insured will submit the Proof of Loss within the sixty day limit, per the Policy conditions. All of the information has been submitted to determine the Loss

of Income claim but we will allow the umpire to make any decision as to additional information your appraiser needs, if you name one."

- 28. Thereafter, Mr. Goodman on behalf of Mary Ann's Interiors continued to press for the Business Interruption portion of the claim to proceed through the appraisal process without any additional information being provided by Mary Ann's Interiors to Harleysville.
- 29. Harleysville advised Mary Ann's Interiors on multiple occasions in writing that proceeding to the appraisal process was premature pending Harleysville's receipt of additional information it had requested from Mary Ann's Interiors.
- 30. Mr. Goodman on behalf of Mary Ann's Interiors has threatened to "take legal action" to prevent the involvement of Christopher Logan (the claims handler on behalf of Harleysville) in the handling of the claim and to disqualify the appraiser retained by Harleysville to assist in Harleysville's investigation.
 - 31. The Policy provides, in relevant part:

E. PROPERTY LOSS CONDITIONS

- 3. Duties in the Event of Loss or Damage
 - a. You must see that the following are done in the event of loss or damage to Covered Property:
 - (5). At our request, give us complete inventories of the damaged and undamaged property. Include quantities, costs, values and amount of loss claimed.
 - (6). As often as may be reasonably required, permit us to inspect the property proving the loss or damages and examine your books and records.

Also permit us to take samples of damaged and undamaged property for inspection, testing and analysis, and permit us to make copies from your books and records.

(7). Send us a signed, sworn Proof of Loss containing the information we requested to settle the claim. You must do this within sixty days after our request. We will supply you with the necessary forms.

- (8). Cooperate with us in the investigation or settlement of the claim.
- (9). Resume all or part of your "operations" as quickly as possible.
- b. We may examine any insured under oath, while not in the presence of any other insured and at such times as may be reasonably required, about any matter relating to this insurance or the claim, including an insured's books and records. In the event of an examination, an insured's answers must be signed.

32. The Policy further provides:

PROPERTY LOSS CONDITIONS

2. Appraisal

If we and you disagree on the amount of the loss, either may make written demand for an appraisal of the loss. In this event, each party will select a competent and impartial appraiser. The two appraisers will select an umpire. If they can not agree, either may request that selection be made by a judge of a court having jurisdiction. The appraisers will state separately the amount of loss. If they fail to agree, they will submit their differences to the umpire. A decision agreed to by any two will be binding. Each party will:

- a. pay its chosen appraisers; and
- b. Bear the other expenses of the appraisal and umpire equally.

If we submit to an appraisal, we will still retain our right to deny the claim.

- 33. According to the provisions of the Policy as set forth above, Mary Ann's Interiors was obligated to provide to Harleysville in connection with the Business Interruption claim all documentation reasonably requested by Harleysville to evaluate the claim.
- 34. According to the Policy, the appraisal process is only to be invoked when Harleysville and Mary Ann's Interiors disagree "on the amount of loss" following the completion of Harleysville's investigation.
- 35. Because Mary Ann's Interiors has failed and/or refused to comply with the provisions of the Policy requiring Mary Ann's Interiors to provide the documentation necessary for Harleysville's evaluation of the Business Interruption claim, Harleysville has been unable to

Page 8 of 9

complete its evaluation of the Business Interruption claim which is a prerequisite to the appraisal process.

- Mary Ann's Interiors' failure and/or refusal to provide the documentation 36. requested by Harleysville in connection with the Business Interruption portion of the claim represents a material breach of the policy.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Mary Ann's Interiors breach of the policy, Harleysville's ability to evaluate the Business Interruption claim has been prejudiced.
- 38. Harleysville has exhausted all informal efforts, including numerous verbal and written requests, to secure Mary Ann's Interiors' compliance with those provisions of the Policy to permit Harleysville to properly and fully evaluate the Business Interruption claim.

WHEREFORE, Harleysville respectfully requests that judgment be entered as follows:

A. A declaration that Harleysville owes no Business Interruption coverage to Mary Ann's Interiors as a consequence of Mary Ann's Interiors' material breach of the insurance policy:

IN THE ALTERNATIVE, Harleysville respectfully requests that judgment be entered as follows:

- A declaration that Mary Ann's Interiors must provide documentation A. responsive to Harleysville's requests, including but not limited to the requests set forth in the March 1, 2006 Preliminary Document Request from Robson, P.C. within thirty (30) days;
- That Mary Ann's Interiors be precluded from invoking the appraisal В. process pending an Order from this Court permitting the appraisal process to proceed, following (a) completion of Harleysville's investigation; and (b) disagreement between Harleysville and Mary Ann's Interiors concerning the amount of the loss on the Business Interruption claim.

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

Daniel A. Griffith, Esquire (I.D. No. 4209) 1220 North Market Street, 5th Floor

P.O. Box 8888

Wilmington, DE 19899 - 8888

Tel: 302.552.4317 Fax: 302.651.7905

e-mail: dgriffith@mdwcg.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Dated: October 19, 2006

\15_A\LIAB\DAGRIFFITH\LLPG\377043\JSSHANNON\08027\00103

JS-44 (Rev 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

06-647

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil o	docket sheet. (SEE INST	RUCTIONS ON THE REVER	SE OF THE FORM.)									
I. (a)) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
	Harleysville Insurance Company			John Winiford Corporation of Delaware d/b/a Mary Ann Interiors								
(b)	County of Residence Plaintiff	dence of First Listed Davidson County, Телпеssee			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Sussex County, Delaware							
	ı	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)			1	(IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CAS	ES ONLY)		
					NO	TE: IN LA		NDEMNATION C LAND INVOLVE		SE THE LO	CATION C	OF THE
(c)	Attorneys (Finn Name	, Address, and Telephone Numl	per)		Attorneys (If Known	1)				2	2	
Daniel A. Griffith, 1220 N. Market St., Wilminton, DE 19899 302-552-4317				N/A CCLERK U								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place An "X" in One Box only)												
□ 1. U.S.	U.S. Government 3. Federal Question						☐ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State			_	PTF	DEF
Ĭ	Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)			izen di This Sizie	ASC PSC				y ⊠ 4		
	Government	_ ,		Cit	izen of Another State	⊠ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in A	d Principa	al Place tater n	~ Xk >>	□ 5
	Defendant 	(Indicates Citizenship of Pa	rties in Item III)		izen of Subjeet of a eign Country	3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		డు	REAL PROPERTY.	□6
		ace an "X" in One Box Only)		wom no many 4				187-teggypingstegentiate, was at wastered				an an variation for an an at one of the
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		THE RESERVE TO A SECTION OF THE SECT		FORFEITURE / PE	NALTY		BANKRUPTC	¥n	OT	HER STA	TUTES
		PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJ		☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & I	Drug		22 Appeal 28 US 23 Withdrawal	C 158			ortionment
□ 130 Mi	iller Act	Act 315 Airplane Product Med Malpraet		aetiee	☐ 625 Drng Related Seizure		28 USC 157			☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
	egotiable Instrument ecovery of				of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws				450 Commerce			
Overpayn		Slander 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 640 R.R & Truck			PROPERTY RIGHTS 20 Copyrights		☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced		flueneed
	nforcement of	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Prod	luct	☐ 650 Airline Regs			30 Patent		and		
Judgment ☐ 151 Me	edicare Aet	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROF	PERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health		□ 84	40 Trademark			orrupt Org onsumer C	ganizations redit
□ 152 Re	covery of Defaulted	☐ 345 Marine Product	☐ 370 Other Frand		☐ 690 Other					☐ 490 C	able/Sat T	V
	tudent Loans Excl. Veterans)	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Len ☐ 380 Other Person		LABOR			OCIAL SECUR	ITY		elective Se	rvice mmodities/
□ 153 Re		☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Da		☐ 710 Fair Labor Star Act	idards		61 HIA (1395ff) 62 Black Lung (9	223)		xchange	minomices
	verpayment of eteran's Benefits.	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Da		☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. R		□ 86	53 DIWC/DIWW ((405(g))		ustomer Cl	
	oekholder's Suits	☐ 360 Other Persona! Injury	Produet Lis	ibility	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Re & Disclosure			64 SSID Title XV 65 RSI (405(g))	⁷ 1		12 USC 34 ther Statute	ory Actions
	Other Contract			☐ 740 Railway Labor Aet		FEDERAL TAX SUITS		☐ 891 Agrieultural Acts				
	ontraet Product				☐ 790 Other Labor Li ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	tigation				☐ 892 E	conomic St	ibilization
☐ 195 Fra	nchise	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			Seeurity Act			ili iliki hiji oso, ga		□ 893 E		tal Matters
	AL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS			•		□ 87	70 Taxes (U.S. Pl				eation Act
☐ 210 La	nd Condemnation reelosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to V Sentence	acate			□ 87	or Defendan 71 IRS – Third Pa			ectoni or i	monmanon
☐ 230 Re	nt, Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing /	443 Housing / Habeas Corpu				26 USC 7609			☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination		
_	rts to Land rt Product Liability	Aecommodations ☐ 444 Welfare	Aecommodations 4 Welfare 530 General 535 Death Penalt						Under Equal Access to Justice			
	Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities—									onstitution	
		Employment ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities—	Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities— ☐ 555 Prison Condi							State Statutes		
		Other		Magni								
		☐ 440 Other Civil Rights										
	Original 2		Remanded from I		Reinstated or 5	another	erred fro Distric		Multidisti Litigation		7 Jud Ma	l to District ge from gistrate
		Cite the U.S. Civil State	-		g (Do not cite jurise 48 U.S.C. § 2201	(specify dictional s		s unless divers	sity):		Jud	gment
VI. CA	USE OF ACTION	Brief description of cau	se:			-						
VII DE	EQUESTED IN				nent on Insurance Co	ntract	OH	ECT VIDO 1				
CC	OMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		y DE	VIAIU \$ IVA			IECK YES only RY DEMAND	- —	_	omplaini No	:
	ELATED CASE(S 'ANY N/A	(See instructions) J	UDGE				DOC	KET NUMBER	R			
DATE	October 19, 2006		SIGNATUE	E OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD	A STATE STATE STATE OF						
FOR OFF	FICE USE ONLY	-	1001	4								
		1 AMOUNT 350.00	APPLYING		JUDGE	3		М	IAG. JUD0	GE		
		- / - mai	5 NTC R	و تعتر	~ ~ x	Jo s	T -	 - Using i	M WE			

ÁΩ	FORM	85	RECEIPT	REV.	9/04)
	YOUT	0.7			71011

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Civil Action No. _____ 0 6 - 6 4 7 ***

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE REC	CEIPT OF 3 COPIES OF AO FORM 85.
(Date forms issued)	Monty cella (Signature of Party or their Representative)
	Monty Pollard (Printed name of Party or their Representative)

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action